

Hausdorff Measure for a Stable-Like Process over an Infinite Extension of a Local Field¹

Anatoly N. Kochubei²

Running head: “Stable-Like Process over an Infinite Extension of a Local Field”

¹This research was supported in part by CRDF Grant UM1-2090.

²Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, 01601 Ukraine. E-mail: ank@ank.kiev.ua

Abstract

We consider an infinite extension K of a local field of zero characteristic which is a union of an increasing sequence of finite extensions. K is equipped with an inductive limit topology; its conjugate $\overline{K}$ is a completion of K with respect to a topology given by certain explicitly written seminorms. The semigroup of measures, which defines a stable-like process $X(t)$ on $\overline{K}$, is concentrated on a compact subgroup $S \subset \overline{K}$. We study properties of the process $X_S(t)$, a part of $X(t)$ in S . It is shown that the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the image of an interval equal 0 almost surely. In the case of tamely ramified extensions a correct Hausdorff measure for this set is found.

KEY WORDS: Stable process, local field, tamely ramified extension, Hausdorff dimension, Hausdorff measure

1 INTRODUCTION

Let k be a non-Archimedean local field (= non-discrete totally disconnected locally compact topological field) with characteristic zero. Consider a strictly increasing sequence of its finite algebraic extensions

$$k = K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots \quad (1.1)$$

The infinite extension

$$K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$$

may be considered as a topological vector space over k with the inductive limit topology. Let $\overline{K}$ be its strong dual. Evidently, $\overline{K}$ is not locally compact.

Within the non-Archimedean version of infinite-dimensional analysis developed by the author⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾, an analog of the symmetric α -stable process was constructed on $\overline{K}$. Just as for stable processes on local fields (see e.g. Refs. 1, 5, 7, 10, 14, 17), this process $X(t)$ is defined for any $\alpha > 0$. Some of its properties are similar to those of the classical stable processes or processes on local fields while others are different. In particular, $X(t)$ has an invariant measure μ which is Gaussian in the sense of Evans⁽²⁾; the transition probabilities of $X(t)$ are not absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

Note that both μ and the convolution semigroup of measures $\pi(t, dx)$, which defines $X(t)$, are concentrated on a compact subgroup $S \subset \overline{K}$, and μ coincides with the normalized Haar measure on S (for the details see Section 2 below). Thus an essential information on the process $X(t)$ is contained in the properties of its part $X_S(t)$ in S .

In order to study sample path properties of $X_S(t)$, we can use the results by Evans⁽³⁾ who investigated Lévy processes on a general Vilenkin group (a non-discrete locally compact totally disconnected Abelian topological group). The topology in a Vilenkin group is determined by a descending chain of compact open subgroups. This chain is not unique, and as soon as we manage to write such a chain $\{S_n\}$ explicitly for our case (Section 3) and compute the Lévy measure of $S \setminus S_n$ (Section 4), the general theorems by Evans⁽³⁾ yield immediately the asymptotics of the first exit time $\pi(n)$ of $X_S(t)$ out of the subgroup S_n , and an information on the local behavior of sample paths. We also prove that both the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of a sample path of $X_S(t)$ equal 0 almost surely, which is quite different both from the classical case and the case of a local field considered recently by Alberverio and Zhao⁽¹⁾.

The last result shows the importance of finding, for our situation, a correct Hausdorff measure. However this problem is more complicated. In order to use the appropriate theorem by Evans⁽³⁾, we have to know that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(n, N) > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$Q(n, N) = \mathbf{P} \{X_S(t) \notin S_n \ \forall t \in [\pi(n), \pi(N)]\}, \quad n > N.$$

Evans proved (1.2) for processes with locally spherically symmetric Lévy measures. This condition is not fulfilled in our case, and we give (Section 5) a direct proof of (1.2) under the assumption that all the extensions in (1.1) are tamely ramified. Such an assumption is often

made in algebraic number theory because the algebraic structure of tamely ramified extensions is more or less transparent while general extensions may behave quite wildly.

The author is grateful to the referee for very helpful comments and suggestions.

2 PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Let us recall some of the main constructions and results from Refs. 8, 9. For the basics on local fields see Refs. 4, 12, 13, 16. We also use some standard material regarding field extensions which can be found in algebra textbooks (Refs. 11, 15).

Consider the sequence of extensions (1.1). For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we define a mapping $T_n : K \rightarrow K_n$ as follows. If $x \in K_\nu$, $\nu > n$, put

$$T_n(x) = \frac{m_n}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(x)$$

where m_n is the degree of the extension K_n/k , $\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n} : K_\nu \rightarrow K_n$ is the trace mapping. If $x \in K_n$, then, by definition, $T_n(x) = x$. The mapping T_n is well-defined, and $T_n \circ T_\nu = T_n$ for $\nu > n$. We shall write T instead of T_1 .

The strong dual space $\overline{K}$ can be identified with the projective limit of the sequence $\{K_n\}$ with respect to the mappings $\{T_n\}$, that is with the subset of the direct product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ consisting of those $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, $x_n \in K_n$, for which $x_n = T_n(x_\nu)$ if $\nu > n$. The topology in $\overline{K}$ is defined by seminorms

$$\|x\|_n = \|x_n\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the extension onto K of the normalized absolute value $|\cdot|_1$ defined on k . If $x \in K_n$ then $\|x\| = |x|_n^{1/m_n}$ where $|x|_n$ is the normalized absolute value on K_n .

The pairing between K and $\overline{K}$ is defined as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = T(xy_n)$$

where $x \in K_n \subset K$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$, $y_n \in K_n$. Identifying an element $x \in K$ with $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$ where $x_n = T_n(x)$, we can view K as a dense subset of $\overline{K}$. The mappings T_n can be extended to linear continuous mappings from $\overline{K}$ to K_n , by setting $T_n(x) = x_n$ for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$.

Consider a function on K of the form

$$\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \|x\| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|x\| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Ω is continuous and positive definite on K . That results in the existence of a probability measure μ on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$, such that

$$\Omega(a) = \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle a, x \rangle) \mu(dx), \quad a \in K,$$

where χ is a rank zero additive character on k . The measure μ is Gaussian in the sense of Ref. 2. It is concentrated on the compact additive subgroup

$$S = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

where q_n is the residue field cardinality for the field K_n , d_n is the exponent of the different of the extension K_n/k .

We shall call S the *support subgroup* of $\overline{K}$. The restriction of μ to S coincides with the normalized Haar measure on S . If f is a “cylindrical” function on $\overline{K}$ of the form $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, $x \in \overline{K}$, where φ is a locally integrable complex-valued function on K_n , then

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \mu(dx) = q_n^{-d_n} \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} \varphi(z) dz \quad (2.1)$$

(dz is the Haar measure on K_n normalized by the condition $\int_{|z|_n \leq 1} dz = 1$).

Denote

$$\rho_\alpha(s, t) = \begin{cases} e^{-ts^\alpha}, & \text{if } s > 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $t > 0$. For each $t > 0$ the function $\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t)$ is a continuous positive definite function on K , and there exists a family $\pi(t, dx)$ of Radon probability measures on $\mathcal{B}(K)$ (concentrated on S) such that

$$\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t) = \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \pi(t, dx), \quad \xi \in K. \quad (2.2)$$

This family is actually a semigroup of measures defining a Lévy process $X(t)$ on $\overline{K}$. Its part in S will be denoted by $X_S(t)$. We have an integral formula similar to (2.1): if $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ then

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \pi(t, dx) = \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(m_n^{-1} z, t) \varphi(z) dz \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{K_n} (\chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k})(-x\xi) \rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t) d\xi.$$

The generator of the process $X(t)$ is a hyper-singular integral operator which resembles the fractional differentiation operator D^α generating the symmetric stable process on a local field. The generator can be expressed in terms of the Lévy measure $\Pi(dx)$ on $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K} \setminus \{0\})$ which appears in the following formula of the Lévy-Khinchin type: for any $\lambda \in K$, $t > 0$

$$\mathbf{E} \chi(\langle \lambda, X(t) \rangle) = \exp \left\{ t \int_{\overline{K}} [\chi(\langle \lambda, x \rangle) - 1] \Pi(dx) \right\}.$$

We have the identity

$$\int_{\overline{K}} [\chi(\langle \lambda, x \rangle) - 1] \Pi(dx) = \begin{cases} -\|\lambda\|^\alpha, & \text{if } \|\lambda\| > 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|\lambda\| \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

As it could be expected, the measure Π is concentrated on $S \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, let

$$S^{(n)} = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then (Ref. 8) $S^{(\nu)} \subset S^{(n)}$ for $\nu > n$, and $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{(n)}$, so that $\overline{K} \setminus S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{K} \setminus S^{(n)})$. It was shown in Ref. 9 that for a function f as in (2.1) or (2.3) with $0 \notin \text{supp } \varphi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \Pi(dx) = & -q_n^{d_n \alpha / m_n} \frac{1 - q_n^{\alpha / m_n}}{1 - q_n^{-1 - \alpha / m_n}} \int_{x \in K_n : |x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \left[|x|_n^{-1 - \alpha / m_n} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1 - q_n^{-1}}{q_n^{\alpha / m_n} - 1} q_n^{-d_n(1 + \alpha / m_n)} \right] \varphi(m_n x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.5) that $\Pi(\overline{K} \setminus S^{(n)}) = 0$ whence $\Pi(\overline{K} \setminus S) = 0$.

We shall also use another analytic expression for the integral in the left-hand side of (2.5):

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \Pi(dx) = - \int_{\eta \in K_n : \|\eta\| > 1} \|\eta\|^\alpha w_n(\eta) d\eta \quad (2.6)$$

where w_n is the inverse Fourier transform of the function $y \mapsto q_n^{-d_n/2} \varphi(m_n y)$, that is

$$w_n(\eta) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{K_n} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(-\eta y) \varphi(m_n y) dy.$$

Indeed, after an obvious change of variables we can write the Fourier inversion as

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{K_n} (\chi \circ T)(\eta z) w_n(\eta) d\eta, \quad z \in K_n.$$

Since by our assumption $\varphi(0) = 0$, we have

$$\int_{K_n} w_n(\eta) d\eta = 0,$$

so that for any $x \in \overline{K}$

$$\varphi(T_n(x)) = \int_{K_n} [(\chi \circ T)(\eta T_n(x)) - 1] w_n(\eta) d\eta = \int_{K_n} [(\chi(\langle x, \eta \rangle) - 1) w_n(\eta) d\eta.$$

Integrating with respect to Π and using (2.4) we come to (2.6).

2.2. Here we collect, for a reader's convenience, some notions regarding ramification in extensions of local fields.

Let K be a finite extension of a local field L (this is traditionally denoted K/L); we consider only fields of zero characteristic. Denote by O_K, O_L the corresponding rings of integers, and by P_K, P_L the prime ideals. We have $\text{Tr}_{K/L} : O_K \rightarrow O_L$. Moreover, there exists such an integer d (*the exponent of the different* for the extension K/L) that $\text{Tr}_{K/L}(x) \in O_L$ for $|x|_K \leq q_K^d$ but $\text{Tr}_{K/L}(x_0) \notin O_L$ for some x_0 with $|x_0|_K = q_K^{d+1}$. Here we furnish with appropriate subscripts the objects related to K or L (the normalized absolute values $|\cdot|_K, |\cdot|_L$, the residue field cardinalities q_K, q_L etc.). If π_K, π_L are the prime elements of K and L , we have

$$|\pi_K|_K = q_K^{-1}, \quad |\pi_L|_L = q_L^{-1}, \quad |\pi_L|_K = q_K^{-e},$$

where $e \geq 1$ is *the ramification index* of the extension K/L .

It is known that $d \geq e - 1$, and $d = 0$ if and only if $e = 1$ (in this case the extension K/L is called *unramified*). The extension K/L is called *tamely ramified* if $d = e - 1$. K/L is tamely ramified if and only if the characteristic of finite fields O_K/P_K and O_L/P_L does not divide e .

Another important number attached to the extension K/L is its *index of inertia* $f \geq 1$ defined by the relation $q_K = q_L^f$. The product ef coincides with the degree $[K : L]$ of the extension. If $f = 1$, the extension is called *totally ramified*.

As an example, consider the case where L is the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers, and K is a quadratic extension, that is an extension of the degree 2 obtained by adjoining an element $\sqrt{\tau}$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ is not a square of an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with natural algebraic operations and the normalized absolute value

$$|x_1 + \sqrt{\tau}x_2| = |x_1^2 - \tau x_2^2|_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

If $p \neq 2$, then there are 3 different extensions of the above form, in which $|\tau|_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = 1$ (the unramified extension), or $\tau = p$, or $\tau = \varepsilon p$, $|\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = 1$. The two latter extensions are totally and tamely ramified ($e = 2$ is prime to p). If $p = 2$, the situation is more complicated (see Proposition 5.12 in Ref. 12), there are 7 different quadratic extensions, one of which is unramified while others are totally but not tamely ramified.

Let us return to the general situation. Let $\widehat{O}_K \subset O_K$ be a complete system of representatives of residue classes from O_K/P_K . Then any nonzero element $x \in K$ is uniquely representable in the form of the convergent series

$$x = \pi_K^{-n}(x_0 + x_1\pi_K + x_2\pi_K^2 + \cdots) \tag{2.7}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|x|_K = q_K^n$, $x_j \in \widehat{O}_K$, $x_0 \notin P_K$. If the extension K/L is unramified then any prime element of L is simultaneously a prime element of K , and in this case we may put π_L instead of π_K in (2.7). On the other hand, if K/L is totally ramified, then we may identify O_K/P_K with O_L/P_L , and use in (2.7) elements $x_j \in \widehat{O}_L$. Note also that the Galois group of an unramified extension is cyclic. Its generator is called *the Frobenius automorphism* of the extension K/L .

If K/L is an arbitrary finite extension, there exists an intermediate extension L' lying between L and K , such that L'/L is unramified while K/L' is totally ramified. In this case the ramification index e of K/L equals the degree of K/L' , the inertia index f of K/L coincides with the degree of L'/L . The field L' is called *the inertia subfield* of K/L . If, in addition, K/L

is tamely ramified, then the prime elements π_K and $\pi_{L'}$ in K and L' can be chosen in such a way that $\pi_K^e = \pi_{L'}$.

Dealing with the extensions (1.1) we shall denote the ramification index, inertia index, and exponent of the different for the extension K_ν/K_n , $\nu > n$, by $e_{\nu n}$, $f_{\nu n}$, $d_{\nu n}$ respectively. We shall write e_ν , f_ν , d_ν instead of $e_{\nu 1}$, $f_{\nu 1}$, $d_{\nu 1}$. We shall denote by O_n and P_n respectively the ring of integers and the prime ideal of K_n ; $\widehat{O}_n$ will denote a complete system of representatives of residue classes from O_n/P_n . Since k , as any local field of zero characteristic, is a finite extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers (here p is the characteristic of the residue field), we may assume without restricting generality that $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

3 SUPPORT SUBGROUP AND ITS DUAL

3.1. Our investigation of the group S will be based on the following auxiliary result.

Denote

$$\Sigma_{n,N} = \{y \in K_n : \|y\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n - N/f_n} \|m_n\|\}, \quad n \geq 1, N \geq 0.$$

Lemma 1. *If $\nu > n$, then T_n maps $\Sigma_{\nu,N}$ onto $\Sigma_{n,N}$.*

Proof. Let $y \in \Sigma_{\nu,N}$. Then

$$|m_\nu^{-1}y|_\nu \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu - Ne_\nu}.$$

We have

$$T_n(y) = \frac{m_n}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(y) = m_n \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(m_\nu^{-1}y).$$

Well-known properties of traces in local field extensions (Ref. 16, Chapter 8, Proposition 4) imply the inequality

$$|T_n(y)|_n \leq |m_n|_n q_n^l$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ is determined from the inequality

$$e_{\nu n}(l-1) < d_\nu - Ne_\nu - d_{\nu n} \leq e_{\nu n}l.$$

It is also known (Ref. 16, Chapter 8) that $d_\nu = e_{\nu n}d_n + d_{\nu n}$, so that

$$e_{\nu n}(l-1) < e_{\nu n}d_n - Ne_\nu \leq e_{\nu n}l. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand (Ref. 4, Chapter II, (2.1)), $e_\nu = e_{\nu n}e_n$, and we see from (3.1) that

$$l-1 < d_n - Ne_n \leq l,$$

whence $l = d_n - Ne_n$. It means that $T_n(y) \in \Sigma_{n,N}$ as desired.

Conversely, if $z \in \Sigma_{n,N}$, that is $|m_n^{-1}z|_n \leq q_n^{d_n - Ne_n}$, it follows from the surjectivity property of the trace (Ref. 16, Chapter 8, Proposition 4) that there exists such an element $y' \in K_\nu$ that

$$|y'|_\nu \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu - Ne_\nu}, \quad \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(y') = m_n^{-1}z.$$

Setting $y = m_\nu y'$ we find that

$$z = \frac{m_n}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(y) = T_n(y), \quad |y|_\nu \leq |m_\nu|_\nu q_\nu^{d_\nu - Ne_\nu},$$

which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Let us consider the sequence of subgroups

$$S = S_0 \supset S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots \supset \{0\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$S_n = \{x \in S : \|T_n(x)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n - n/f_n} \|m_n\|\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

If $x \in S_n$ and $j < n$, then $T_j(x) = T_j(T_n(x))$, and by Lemma 1

$$\|T_j(x)\| \leq q_j^{d_j/m_j - n/f_j} \|m_j\| \leq q_j^{d_j/m_j - j/f_j} \|m_j\|,$$

so that $x \in S_j$. We have shown that the subgroups S_n indeed form a descending chain. The same argument shows also that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n = \{0\}$. The subgroups S_n are open and closed. It follows from Lemma 1 that the system of subgroups $\{S_n\}$ forms a base of neighbourhoods of the origin in S .

The quotient group S/S_n is finite. Denote $M(n) = \text{ord } S/S_n$. It follows from the invariance of the measure μ that $\mu(S_n) = [M(n)]^{-1}$. On the other hand, it follows from (2.1) that $\mu(S_n) = q_1^{-nm_n}$. Thus

$$M(n) = q_1^{nm_n}. \quad (3.3)$$

If we define $|x|$ for $x \in S$ by setting

$$|x| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \notin S_1, \\ [M(n)]^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in S_n \setminus S_{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

and $|0| = 0$, then $\Delta(x, y) = |x - y|$ is an ultrametric on S .

The descending chain (3.2) can be “lengthened” by including intermediate subgroups so that the resulting chain would be such that the quotient group of two consecutive subgroups is of a prime order. This property was assumed in Ref. 3. However it will be more convenient for us to use the chain (3.2). All the results of Ref. 3 remain valid here.

3.2. Let S^* be the dual group of S . It was shown in Ref. 9 that S^* is isomorphic to K/O where $O = \{\xi \in K : \|\xi\| \leq 1\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$. Let $\Xi_n \subset S^*$ be the annihilator of the subgroup S_n , that is

$$\Xi_n = \{\xi + O : \xi \in K, \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) = 1 \quad \forall x \in S_n\}.$$

Proposition 1. *If the extensions (1.1) are tamely ramified, then*

$$\Xi_n = \{\xi + O : \xi \in K_n, |\xi|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$. If $\xi \in K_n$, $|\xi|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}$, then for any $x \in S_n$

$$|m_n^{-1} \xi T_n(x)|_n = |\xi|_n \|m_n^{-1} T_n(x)\|^{m_n} \leq q_n^{ne_n} \cdot q_n^{d_n - ne_n} = q_n^{d_n},$$

so that

$$|T(\xi T_n(x))|_1 \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in S_n, \quad (3.4)$$

whence $\xi + O \in \Xi_n$.

Conversely, let $\xi + O \in \Xi_n$. Suppose first that $\xi \in K_n$. Then (3.4) holds. Any element $z_n \in K_n$ with $\|z_n\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n - n/f_n} \|m_n\|$ can be “lifted” to an element $x \in S_n$ such that $T_n(x) = z_n$. Indeed by Lemma 1 there exists $z_{n+1} \in \Sigma_{n+1,n} \subset \Sigma_{n+1,0}$ such that $T_n(z_{n+1}) = z_n$. Then we find $z_{n+2} \in \Sigma_{n+2,0}$, $T_{n+1}(z_{n+2}) = z_{n+1}$. Repeating this and setting $z_j = T_j(z_n)$ for $j < n$ we obtain $x = (z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots) \in S$ with $T_n(x) = z_n$. It is clear that $x \in S_n$.

If $|\xi|_n > q_n^{ne_n}$, and $\|T_n(x)\| = q_n^{d_n/m_n - n/f_n} \|m_n\|$, we have $|\xi T_n(x)|_n > q_n^{d_n}$, and we can choose $x \in S_n$ in such a way that (3.4) is violated. This proves that $|\xi|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}$.

Now it remains to prove that any element of Ξ_n can be represented as $\xi + O$ with $\xi \in K_n$. Suppose that $\xi + O \in \Xi_n$, $\xi \in K_l$, $l > n$. Denote by K'_n the inertia subfield of the extension K_l/K_n . Then $[K'_n : K_n] = f_{ln}$, $[K_l : K'_n] = e_{ln}$, so that $[K'_n : K_1] = m_n f_{ln}$, the ramification index $e(K'_n, K_1) = e_n$, and the inertia index $f(K'_n, K_1) = f_n f_{ln}$. Considering the extensions $K'_n \supset K_n \supset K_1$ (see Section VIII-1 in Ref. 16) we find also the exponent of the different $d(K'_n, K_1) = e(K'_n, K_n)d_n + d(K'_n, K_n) = d_n$. The residue field cardinality for K'_n equals $q_n^{f_{ln}}$.

Define for $z \in K_l$

$$T'_n(z) = \frac{[K'_n : K_1]}{[K_l : K_1]} \text{Tr}_{K_l/K'_n}(z) = \frac{m_n f_{ln}}{m_l} \text{Tr}_{K_l/K'_n}(z).$$

Just as in Lemma 1, if $z \in \Sigma_{l,0}$, then

$$\|T'_n(z)\| \leq q_n^{f_{ln} d(K'_n, K_1)/[K'_n : K_1]} \|[K'_n : K_1]\| = q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n f_{ln}\|.$$

For any $u \in K'_n$ $|u|_{K'_n} = \|u\|^{m_n f_{ln}}$ whence

$$|T'_n(z)|_{K'_n} \leq q_n^{d_n f_{ln}} |m_n f_{ln}|_{K'_n}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us consider $u = T'_n(z)$ as an element of the field K_l . We have by (3.5)

$$|u|_l = \|u\|^{m_l} = (\|u\|^{m_n f_{ln}})^{\frac{m_l}{m_n f_{ln}}} = |u|_{K'_n}^{\frac{m_l}{m_n f_{ln}}} \leq q_n^{\frac{d_n m_l}{m_n}} |m_n f_{ln}|_{K'_n}^{\frac{m_l}{m_n f_{ln}}}.$$

We know that $q_l = q_n^{f_{ln}}$, $\frac{m_l}{m_n} = f_{ln} e_{ln}$, $d_l = d_n e_{ln} + d_{ln}$, so that

$$q_n^{\frac{d_n m_l}{m_n}} = q_l^{d_l - d_{ln}}$$

and

$$|m_n f_{ln}|_{K'_n} = |m_n f_{ln}|_l^{\frac{m_n f_{ln}}{m_l}}.$$

Therefore

$$|u|_l \leq q_l^{d_l - d_{ln}} |m_l|_l \cdot |e_{ln}|_l^{-1}.$$

Since the extension K_l/K_n is tamely ramified, we find that $|e_{ln}|_l = 1$, $|u|_l \leq q_l^{d_l} |m_l|_l$, so that $u \in \Sigma_{l,0}$. This means in particular that for any $z \in \Sigma_{l,0}$ the element $v = z - T'_n(z)$ also belongs to $\Sigma_{l,0}$. Lifting v to an element $y \in S$ we see that

$$T_n(y) = T_n \circ T'_n(y) = T_n \circ T'_n(v) = 0.$$

Thus $y \in S_n$ and $|T(\xi(z - T'_n(z)))|_1 \leq 1$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\xi z) - T(\xi T'_n(z)) &= T(\xi z) - T \circ T'_n(\xi T'_n(z)) = T(\xi z) - T(T'_n(z)T'_n(\xi)) \\ &= T(\xi z) - T \circ T'_n(zT'_n(\xi)) = T(z(\xi - T'_n(\xi))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|T(z(\xi - T'_n(\xi)))|_1 \leq 1$$

for any $z \in \Sigma_{l,0}$, which implies the inequality

$$|\xi - T'_n(\xi)|_l \leq 1 \quad (3.6)$$

(since the annihilator of $\Sigma_{l,0}$ in $K_l = K_l^*$ is O_l ; see Ref. 9).

It follows from (3.6) that $\xi \in K'_n + O$. Let g be the Frobenius automorphism of the unramified extension K'_n/K_n . For an arbitrary $z \in K'_n$ with $\|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n f_{ln}\|$ (the set similar to $\Sigma_{l,0}$ for the field K'_n) we consider the element $z - g^{-1}z$ and its lifting $x_g \in S$. Then

$$T_n(x_g) = T_n(T'_n(x_g)) = T_n(z - g^{-1}z) = 0$$

due to the invariance of traces with respect to elements of the Galois group. Thus $x_g \in S_n$ and

$$|T(\xi T'_n(x_g))|_1 = |T(\xi(z - g^{-1}z))|_1 \leq 1.$$

Since

$$T(\xi(z - g^{-1}z)) = T(\xi z) - T(\xi \cdot (g^{-1}z)) = T(\xi z) - T(g^{-1}(g\xi \cdot z)) = T(z(\xi - g\xi)),$$

we see as above that $\xi - g\xi \in O$. Let us write the canonical representation

$$\xi = \pi_n^{-r} (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \pi_n + \cdots + \sigma_{r-1} \pi_n^{r-1} + \cdots)$$

where π_n is a prime element both for K_n and K'_n , σ_j are representatives of residue classes from O'_n/P'_n (O'_n and P'_n are respectively the ring of integers and prime ideal in K'_n). Then

$$\xi - g\xi = \pi_n^{-r} [(\sigma_0 - g\sigma_0) + (\sigma_1 - g\sigma_1)\pi_n + \cdots + (\sigma_{r-1} - g\sigma_{r-1})\pi_n^{r-1} + \cdots]$$

whence $|\sigma_j - g\sigma_j|_{K'_n} < 1$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$.

In fact either $\sigma_j \in \widehat{O}_n$, and then $\sigma_j - g\sigma_j = 0$, or $|\sigma_j - g\sigma_j|_{K'_n} = 1$ because the Frobenius automorphism of the unramified extension just permutes classes from O'_n/P'_n (see Section I-4 in Ref. 16). Therefore all σ_j belong to $\widehat{O}_n$, which means that $\xi \in K_n + O$ as desired. ■

4 LÉVY MEASURE

4.1. Let us calculate the Lévy measure of the complement $S \setminus S_n$.

Theorem 1. For any $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\Pi(S \setminus S_n) = (1 - q_n^{-1}) q_n^{-ne_n} \frac{q_n^{(ne_n+1)(\alpha/m_n+1)} - q_n^{\alpha/m_n+1}}{q_n^{\alpha/m_n+1} - 1}. \quad (4.1)$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Pi(S \setminus S_n) \sim q_1^{\alpha n}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. As we saw in Section 2, $\Pi(\overline{K} \setminus S) = 0$. We have $S_n \subset S \subset S^{(n)}$, so that

$$\Pi(S \setminus S_n) = \Pi(S^{(n)} \setminus S_n) - \Pi(S^{(n)} \setminus S) = \Pi(S^{(n)} \setminus S_n).$$

The indicator of the set $S^{(n)} \setminus S_n$ is a cylindrical function (in the sense of Section 2), and we can use (2.6) obtaining that

$$\Pi(S \setminus S_n) = - \int_{|\eta|_n > 1} \|\eta\|^\alpha w_n(\eta) d\eta, \quad (4.3)$$

$$w_n(\eta) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{q_n^{d_n-ne_n} < |y|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(-\eta y) dy.$$

By standard integration formulas (see e.g. Ref. 8, 10)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(-\eta y) dy &= 0, \quad |\eta|_n > 1; \\ \int_{|y|_n \leq q_n^{d_n-ne_n}} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(-\eta y) dy &= \begin{cases} q_n^{d_n-ne_n}, & \text{if } |\eta|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}; \\ 0, & \text{if } |\eta|_n > q_n^{ne_n}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (4.3) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(S \setminus S_n) &= q_n^{-ne_n} \int_{1 < |\eta|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}} |\eta|^{\alpha/m_n} d\eta = q_n^{-ne_n} \sum_{j=1}^{ne_n} \int_{|\eta|_n = q_n^j} |\eta|^{\alpha/m_n} d\eta \\ &= (1 - q_n^{-1}) q_n^{-ne_n} \sum_{j=1}^{ne_n} q_n^{j(\alpha/m_n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which results in (4.2).

Since the sequence (1.1) is strictly increasing, we have $m_n \rightarrow \infty$, thus either $f_n \rightarrow \infty$, or $e_n \rightarrow \infty$ (or both). If $f_n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $q_n = q_1^{f_n} \rightarrow \infty$. Writing (4.1) as

$$\Pi(S \setminus S_n) = (1 - q_n^{-1}) \frac{q_1^{\alpha/e_n}}{q_1^{\alpha/e_n} - q_n^{-1}} (q_1^{\alpha n} - q_1^{-nm_n})$$

we come to (4.2) in both possible cases ($e_n \rightarrow \infty$ or e_n is constant starting from some value of n). If $f_n \equiv f$ for $n \geq n_0$, and $e_n \rightarrow \infty$, then we get for $n \geq n_0$

$$(1 - q_{n_0}^{-1}) \frac{q_1^{\alpha/e_n}}{q_1^{\alpha/e_n} - q_{n_0}^{-1}} \longrightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we again come to (4.2). ■

4.2. Define a sequence $\{n(j)\}_1^\infty$ setting $n(1) = 1$,

$$n(j+1) = \inf \{n > n(j) : \Pi(S \setminus S_n)/\Pi(S \setminus S_{n(j)}) \geq 2\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and set $b_n = [\Pi(S \setminus S_{n(j)})]^{-1} \log j$ for $n(j) \leq n < n(j+1)$.

Evans⁽³⁾ showed that almost surely

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{b_n} = 1 \tag{4.4}$$

where $\pi(n)$ is the first exit time of the process $X_S(t)$ out of the subgroup S_n . Obviously we may substitute for b_n any sequence B_n with $B_n \sim b_n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if $\alpha > \log_{q_1} 2$, we may take (in view of (4.2))

$$B_n = q_1^{-\alpha n} \log n, \tag{4.5}$$

so that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{B_n} = 1.$$

Let $\dim$ and Dim be the Hausdorff and packing dimensions on S with respect to the metric defined above (see Ref. 3). Then $\dim S = \text{Dim } S = 1$. It was shown by Evans⁽³⁾ that for each $t > 0$ almost surely

$$\dim X([0, t]) = \beta', \quad \text{Dim } X([0, t]) = \beta'',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta' &= \inf \left\{ \beta : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M(n)]^{-\beta} Q(n, N) \Pi(S \setminus S_n) = 0 \right\}, \\ \beta'' &= \inf \left\{ \beta : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M(n)]^{-\beta} Q(n, N) \Pi(S \setminus S_n) = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where N is an arbitrary natural number. Since by definition $Q(n, N) \leq 1$, the following result is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *For each $t > 0$*

$$\dim X([0, t]) = \text{Dim } X([0, t]) = 0$$

almost surely.

Unfortunately the results of Ref. 3 do not yield the uniform dimension results in a similar way. The reason is that $m_{n+1} \geq 2m_n$ whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n+1)}{[M(n)]^{1+\eta}} \neq 0$$

if $\eta > 0$ is small enough. This contradicts an a priori assumption in the uniform dimension study of Ref. 3.

5 HAUSDORFF MEASURE

5.1. Let

$$\tau(n, N) = \text{meas} \{t \in [0, \pi(N)] : X(t) \in S_n\}, \quad n > N.$$

It was shown by Evans⁽³⁾ that

$$[Q(n, N)]^{-1} = \mathbf{E}[\tau(n, N)]\Pi(S \setminus S_n). \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover (see the proof of Lemma 9 in Ref. 3),

$$\mathbf{E}[\tau(n, N)] = [M(n)]^{-1} \sum_{\xi \in \Xi_n} \lambda_n(\xi), \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\lambda_n(\xi) = \left[\Pi(S \setminus S_N) + \int_{S_N} (1 - \chi(\langle x, \xi \rangle)) \Pi(dx) \right]^{-1}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here we identify a class $\xi + O \in \Xi_n$ with an element ξ . Correspondingly, the summation in (5.2) is taken actually over the set of different classes $\xi + O$.

In order to get an estimate of $\mathbf{E}[\tau(n, N)]$, we have to begin with estimating the integral

$$I_N(\xi) = \int_{S_N} (1 - \chi(\langle x, \xi \rangle)) \Pi(dx), \quad \xi \in \Xi_n, \quad n > N.$$

It is clear that $I_N(\xi)$ is a non-negative real-valued function.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that the extensions K_n/K_N and K_N/K_1 are tamely ramified. If $\xi \in K_n$, $|\xi|_n = q_n^j$, $Ne_n + 1 \leq j \leq ne_n$, then*

$$I_N(\xi) \geq (1 - q_N^{-Ne_N}) |\xi|_n^{\alpha/m_n} - \Pi(S \setminus S_N). \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Writing $\chi(\langle x, \xi \rangle) = \chi(T(\xi T_n(x)))$ we can use (2.6) and come to the expression

$$I_N(\xi) = - \int_{\zeta \in K_n: |\zeta|_n > 1} |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} \Phi(\zeta) d\zeta$$

where

$$\Phi(\zeta) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{V_{n,N}} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(-\zeta y) [1 - \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(\xi y)] dy$$

and

$$V_{n,N} = \left\{ y \in K_n : |y|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}, \quad |\text{Tr}_{K_n/K_N}(y)|_N \leq q_N^{d_N - Ne_N} \right\}.$$

Denoting

$$\Phi_1(\zeta) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{V_{n,N}} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_1}(-\zeta y) dy$$

we find that

$$I_N(\xi) = \int_{|\zeta|_n > 1} |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} [\Phi_1(\zeta - \xi) - \Phi_1(\zeta)] d\zeta.$$

Since $V_{n,N}$ is a compact open subgroup in $\Sigma_{n,0}$, we have (see e.g. (31.7) in Ref. 6)

$$\Phi_1(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta \notin V_{n,N}^\perp, \\ c_{n,N}, & \text{if } \zeta \in V_{n,N}^\perp, \end{cases}$$

where $V_{n,N}^\perp$ is the annihilator of $V_{n,N}$ in the dual group $\Sigma_{n,0}^*$, and as before,

$$V_{n,N}^\perp = \{ \zeta = \zeta' + \zeta'' : \zeta' \in K_N, 1 < |\zeta'|_N \leq q_N^{Ne_N}; \zeta'' \in K_n, |\zeta''|_n \leq 1 \}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$c_{n,N} = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{V_{n,N}} dy.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(\xi) &= c_{n,N} \left[\int_{\{|\zeta|_n > 1\} \cap (\xi + V_{n,N}^\perp)} |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} d\zeta - \int_{\{|\zeta|_n > 1\} \cap V_{n,N}^\perp} |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} d\zeta \right] \\ &= c_{n,N} \int_{\{|\zeta|_n > 1\} \cap V_{n,N}^\perp} (|\xi + \zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} - |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n}) d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

since by our assumption $|\xi|_n \geq q_n^{Ne_n+1} > 1$ while for an element ζ' appearing in (5.5) we have

$$|\zeta'|_n = |\zeta'|_N^{\frac{mn}{m_N}} \leq q_N^{\frac{Ne_N mn}{m_N}} = q_n^{\frac{Ne_N mn}{m_N f_{N,N}}} = q_n^{Ne_n}.$$

Denote

$$J_1(\xi) = \int_{\{|\zeta|_n > 1\} \cap V_{n,N}^\perp} |\xi + \zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} d\zeta, \quad J_2 = \int_{\{|\zeta|_n > 1\} \cap V_{n,N}^\perp} |\zeta|_n^{\alpha/m_n} d\zeta.$$

Writing an element $\zeta' \in K_N, 1 < |\zeta'|_N \leq q_N^{Ne_N}$, as

$$\zeta' = \pi_N^{-Ne_N} (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \pi_N + \cdots + \sigma_{Ne_N-1} \pi_N^{Ne_N-1} + \cdots), \quad \sigma_j \in \widehat{O}_N,$$

we see that the domain of integration is the union of non-intersecting “closed” unit balls $B_n^{(Ne_n)}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{Ne_N-1}) \subset K_n$ centered at the points $\pi_N^{-Ne_N} (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \pi_N + \cdots + \sigma_{Ne_N-1} \pi_N^{Ne_N-1})$ where at least one of the elements σ_j is different from 0. The total quantity of such balls equals

$$(q_N - 1) (q_N^{Ne_N-1} + q_N^{Ne_N-2} + \cdots + 1) = q_N^{Ne_N} - 1,$$

so that

$$J_1(\xi) = |\xi|_n^{\alpha/m_N} (q_N^{Ne_N} - 1). \quad (5.6)$$

Similarly, since the equality $|\zeta'|_N = q_N^l$ implies $|\zeta'|_n = q_n^{le_{n,N}}$, we get

$$J_2 = (q_N - 1) q_N^{\alpha/m_N} \frac{q_N^{Ne_N(1+\alpha/m_N)} - 1}{q_N^{1+\alpha/m_N} - 1}. \quad (5.7)$$

Next we shall find a lower bound for $c_{n,N}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that any element $y \in V_{n,N}$ can be written as $y = y' + y''$ where

$$|y'|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}, \quad \text{Tr}_{K_n/K_N}(y') = 0, \quad |y''|_n \leq q_n^{d_n - Ne_n}.$$

We shall find a finite set F of elements y' , such that $|y'_1 - y'_2|_n > q_n^{d_n - Ne_n}$ for any different $y'_1, y'_2 \in F$. Then $V_{n,N}$ will contain non-intersecting balls centered at $y' \in F$ with the radii $q_n^{d_n - Ne_n}$, so that

$$c_{n,N} \geq (\text{card } F) q_n^{-Ne_n}. \quad (5.8)$$

Let $x \in K_n$, $q_n^{d_n - Ne_n} < |x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}$. Since the extension K_n/K_N is tamely ramified, we can choose in K'_N (the inertia subfield of K_n) and K_n such prime elements π'_N and π_n that $\pi_n^{e_{n,N}} = \pi'_N$. If $|x|_n = q_n^m$, we can write the canonical representation $x = \pi_n^{-m}(x_0 + x_1\pi_n + \dots)$, $x_j \in \widehat{O}'_N$ (a complete set of representatives of residue classes from O'_N/P'_N). Below we assume that $\widehat{O}_N \subset \widehat{O}'_N$.

It is known (Ref. 16, Chapter 8) that for the element $\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x) \in K'_N$ the inequality

$$|\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)|_n \leq |x|_n$$

holds. Thus either $|\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)|_n < |x|_n$, or $|\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)|_n = q_n^m$, and in the latter case

$$|\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)|_{K'_N} = q_n^\nu, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so that $m = \nu e_{n,N}$, which implies further that $x = \pi_n^{-m}x_0 + z = (\pi'_N)^{-\nu}x_0 + z$, $|z|_n \leq q_n^{m-1}$. Thus in this case

$$\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x) = (\pi'_N)^{-\nu}x_0 + \text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(z), \quad (5.9)$$

so that

$$|x - \text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)|_n \leq q_n^{m-1}. \quad (5.10)$$

Let us consider the element

$$y' = x - g[\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)] \quad (5.11)$$

where g is the Frobenius automorphism of the extension K'_N/K_N . We have

$$\text{Tr}_{K_n/K_N}(y') = \text{Tr}_{K'_N/K_N} \{ \text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x) - g[\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)] \} = 0.$$

As we saw, $|y'|_n = q_n^m$, except in the case, in which $m = \nu e_{n,N}$. Now we consider that exceptional case.

Since

$$y' = \{x - \text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)\} + \{\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x) - g[\text{Tr}_{K_n/K'_N}(x)]\},$$

and the first summand satisfies (5.10), we have only to study the second summand which, due to (5.9), is equal, up to a “small” term, to $(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 - g[(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0]$. By the definition of the Frobenius automorphism,

$$\left| (\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 - g[(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0] \right|_{K'_N} = q_n^\nu,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| (\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 - g[(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0] \right|_n = q_n^m,$$

unless $(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 \in K_N$.

Thus we have found that $|y'|_n = q_n^m$ except for the case, in which $m = \nu e_{n,N}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 \in K_N$. Since the extension K'_N/K_N is unramified, we may write

$$(\pi'_N)^{-\nu} x_0 = \pi_N^{-\nu} (\tilde{x}_0 + \tilde{x}_1 \pi_N + \cdots), \quad \tilde{x}_j \in \widehat{O}'_N,$$

so that, due to (5.9), for x we obtain the representation

$$x = \pi_N^{-\nu} \tilde{x}_0 + \pi_n^{-m+1} (\tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2 \pi_n + \cdots), \quad \tilde{x}_j \in \widehat{O}'_N,$$

with $|y'|_n = q_n^m$ if $\tilde{x}_0 \notin \widehat{O}_N$. A difference $y'^{(1)} - y'^{(2)}$ of two elements of the form (5.11) satisfies the equality $|y'^{(1)} - y'^{(2)}|_n = q_n^m$ if the corresponding $\tilde{x}_0^{(1)}, \tilde{x}_0^{(2)} \in \widehat{O}'_N$ are such that $\tilde{x}_0^{(1)} - \tilde{x}_0^{(2)} \notin O_N$.

Let us construct a subset $\widehat{O}''_N \subset \widehat{O}'_N$ with the property that if $a, b \in \widehat{O}''_N$, $a \neq b$, then $a - b \notin O_N$. Denote for brevity $\varkappa = O_N/P_N$, $\varkappa' = O'_N/P'_N$. Then $\varkappa \subset \varkappa'$, $\text{card } \varkappa = q_N$, $\text{card } \varkappa' = q_n$. Consider the quotient group $\varkappa'/\varkappa$ of the additive groups of the finite fields $\varkappa', \varkappa$. We have

$$\text{card}(\varkappa'/\varkappa) = q_n/q_N = q_N^{f_{n,N}-1}.$$

Let us choose in $\varkappa'$ a complete set of representatives of residue classes from $\varkappa'/\varkappa$, and then for each of them (as a class from O'_N/P'_N) take a representative from $\widehat{O}'_N$. Let $\widehat{O}''_N$ be the resulting set. If $a, b \in \widehat{O}''_N$, and $a - b \in O_N$, then the classes of a and b in $\varkappa'$ would belong to $\varkappa$ whence $a = b$ as desired. Note that $\text{card } \widehat{O}''_N = q_N^{f_{n,N}-1}$.

Now we take as F the set of all elements (5.11) with

$$x = \pi_n^{-d_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq N e_n - 1 \\ e_{n,N} \nmid d_n - j}} x_j \pi_n^j + \sum_{\nu: d_n - N e_n + 1 \leq \nu e_{n,N} \leq d_n} \pi_N^{-\nu} \tilde{x}_\nu \quad (5.12)$$

where $x_j \in \widehat{O}_N$, $\tilde{x}_\nu \in \widehat{O}''_N$. Note that $|\pi_N^{-\nu}|_n = q_n^{\nu e_{n,N}}$, so that the orders of all non-zero terms in (5.12) are different.

It follows from our assumptions that the extension K_n/K_1 is tamely ramified (see Ref. 4), that is $d_n = e_n - 1$. Hence the second sum in (5.12) is taken over those ν for which

$$\frac{e_n(1-N)}{e_{n,N}} \leq \nu \leq \frac{e_n}{e_{n,N}} - \frac{1}{e_{n,N}},$$

that is

$$(1 - N)e_N \leq \nu \leq e_N - 1.$$

The quantity of such numbers ν is $e_N - 1 - [(1 - N)e_N - 1] = Ne_N$. Correspondingly, the quantity of terms in the first sum of (5.12) is $Ne_n - Ne_N$. Thus

$$\text{card } F = q_n^{Ne_n - Ne_N} \cdot q_N^{(f_{n,N} - 1)Ne_N} = q_n^{Ne_n} \cdot q_N^{-Ne_N},$$

and by (5.8)

$$c_{n,N} \geq q_N^{-Ne_N}. \quad (5.13)$$

Comparing (5.6), (5.7), and (5.13) with (4.1) we come to (5.4). $\blacksquare$

Now we can prove (1.2).

Theorem 2. *If all the extensions (1.1) are tamely ramified, then (1.2) holds.*

Proof. From (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (4.2), and (3.3) we find that

$$[Q(n, N)]^{-1} \leq C_N q_1^{\alpha n - nm_n} \sum_{\xi \in \Xi_n} [\Pi(S \setminus S_N) + I_N(\xi)]^{-1}, \quad C_N > 0. \quad (5.14)$$

As before, we identify a class $\xi + O$, $\xi \in K_n$, $|\xi|_n = q_n^j$, $j \geq 1$, with the element

$$\xi = \pi_n^{-j} (\xi_0 + \xi_1 \pi_n + \cdots + \xi_{j-1} \pi_n^{j-1}), \quad \xi_j \in \widehat{O}_n, \xi_0 \neq 0.$$

The number of such elements is $(q_n - 1)q_n^{j-1}$.

Let us split the sum in (5.14) into two sums, over ξ with $|\xi|_n \leq q_n^{Ne_n}$, and with $q_n^{Ne_n+1} \leq |\xi|_n \leq q_n^{ne_n}$. The first sum is estimated by dropping $I_N(\xi)$; an upper bound for the second sum is given by Lemma 2. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} [Q(n, N)]^{-1} &\leq C_N q_1^{\alpha n - nm_n} \left\{ [\Pi(S \setminus S_N)]^{-1} (q_n - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{Ne_n} q_n^{j-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (q_n - 1) (1 - q_N^{-Ne_N}) \sum_{j=Ne_n+1}^{ne_n} q_n^{j-1-j\alpha/m_n} \right\} \\ &\leq C'_N q_1^{\alpha n - nm_n} \left[q_1^{Nm_n} + \frac{q_n^{(ne_n+1)(1-\frac{\alpha}{m_n})} - q_n^{(Ne_n+1)(1-\frac{\alpha}{m_n})}}{q_n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{m_n}} - 1} \right] \\ &\leq C''_N q_1^{\alpha n - nm_n} (q_1^{Nm_n} + q_1^{nm_n - \alpha n}) \leq C'''_N \end{aligned}$$

(with positive constants C'_N, C''_N, C'''_N ; we used the fact that $m_n \rightarrow \infty$), which implies (1.2). $\blacksquare$

5.2. In accordance with the general definition given in Ref. 3, a Hausdorff outer measure with respect to a non-decreasing function $\varphi : \{M(n)^{-1}\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \{0\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(M(n)^{-1}) = \varphi(0) = 0$, is defined as

$$\varphi - m(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_i \varphi(\text{diam } R_i) \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable collections of balls $\{R_i\}$ such that a set $A \subset S$ is contained in $\bigcup_i R_i$, and $\sup_i \text{diam } R_i \leq [M(n)]^{-1}$. Here balls and diameters on S are understood in the sense of the metric Δ .

In view of Theorem 2, the results by Evans⁽³⁾ yield the following construction of the Hausdorff measure for our situation.

Corollary 2. *In the notation of Section 4 define the function φ by $\varphi(M(n)^{-1}) = b_n$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. If all the extensions (1.1) are tamely ramified, then almost surely*

$$0 < \varphi - m(X_S([0, t])) < \infty$$

for all $t > 0$. If $\alpha > \log_{q_1} 2$, then the sequence $\{b_n\}$ can be replaced by the sequence $\{B_n\}$ defined by (4.5).

REFERENCES

1. Albeverio, S., Zhao, X. (2000). On the relation between different constructions of random walks on p -adics. *Markov Processes Relat. Fields* **6**, 239–255.
2. Evans, S. N. (1989). Local Field Gaussian Measures. In Cinlar, E. et al. (eds.), *Seminar on Stochastic Processes 1988*, Birkhäuser, Boston, pp. 121–160.
3. Evans, S. N. (1989). Local Properties of Lévy Processes on a Totally Disconnected Group. *J. Theor. Probab.* **2**, 209–259.
4. Fesenko, I.B. and Vostokov, S.V. (1993). *Local Fields and Their Extensions: A Constructive Approach*, American Mathematical Society, Providence.
5. Haran, S. (1993). Analytic potential theory over the p -adics. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **43**, 905–944.
6. Hewitt, E. and Ross, K. A. (1970). *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. Volume 2, Springer, Berlin.
7. Kochubei, A.N. (1992). Parabolic equations over the field of p -adic numbers. *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **39**, 1263–1280.
8. Kochubei, A.N. (1999). Analysis and probability over infinite extensions of a local field, *Potential Anal.* **10**, 305–325.
9. Kochubei, A.N. (1999). Fractional differentiation operator over an infinite extension of a local field. In Kąkol, J. et al. (eds), *p -Adic Functional Analysis*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 207, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 167–178.
10. Kochubei, A.N. (2001). *Pseudo-Differential Equations and Stochastics over Non-Archimedean Fields*, Marcel Dekker, New York.
11. Lang, S. (1965). *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading.

12. Narkiewicz, W. (1974). *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, PWN, Warsaw.
13. Serre, J.-P. (1979). *Local Fields*, Springer, New York.
14. Vladimirov, V.S., Volovich, I.V., and Zelenov, E.I. (1994). *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore.
15. van der Waerden, B. L. (1971). *Algebra I*, Springer, Berlin.
16. Weil, A. (1967). *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, Berlin.
17. Yasuda, K. (1996). Additive processes on local fields. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **3**, 629–654.